QUEENSLAND FAMILY AND CHILD CONNECT/INTENSIVE FAMILY SUPPORT SDM® FAMILY RISK RE-EVALUATION (Version 3.2)

Family Name:		Case No.:	Case Date:///	_
Work	er Name:	_ ○ Family and Child Connect	O Intensive Family Support	ensive Family Support
Agen	cy Name and catchmen <u>t:</u>	IFS Commencement Date:	/	
Famil	y Risk Re-Evaluation Completion Date:/	/ Re-Evaluation	No.: 1234	
Prima	ary Parent:	Secondary Parent:		
ls any	r child: O Aboriginal O Torres Strait Islander	O Both		
R1.	Number of prior Child Safety notifications		Sco	re
	a. Less than two			
	b. Two or more			
R2.	Prior ongoing Child Safety intervention			
	a. Nob. Yes			
	D. 165			
R3.	Was a child injured in the past due to child abuse/			
	a. No			
	b. Yes		1	
R4.	Primary parent history as a child			
	a. No abuse or neglect		0	
	b. Experienced abuse or neglect			
		16		
R5.	 Child characteristics (mark applicable items and add a. No child in the household exhibits any of the ch 		0	
	a. No child in the household exhibits any of the chb. Any child in the household is:	laracteristics listed below	0	
	☐ Developmentally or physically disabled		1	
	☐ Medically fragile or diagnosed with failure to			
		_		
R6.	Child Safety involvement during this review perio			
	a. No new notification to Child Safety			
	b. Child Safety notification occurred		Z	—
R7.	Parent alcohol or drug status during this review p	eriod (mark one)		
	a. O No history of alcohol or drug misuse		0	
	b. O No current alcohol or drug misuse; no interve			
	c. O Alcohol or drug misuse; problem is being add			
	d. O Alcohol or drug misuse; problem is <u>not</u> being	addressed	1	—
R8.	Household relationships during this review period	i		
	a. No domestic or family violence		0	
	b. Domestic or family violence		22	
R9.				
	Physical care of child by primary parent during thi a. Consistent with child needs		0	
	a. Consistent with child needsb. Inconsistent with child needs			
	2. Inconsistent with time needs			
R10.	Progress with the case plan (mark one based on the	e parent with the least progress)		
	a. O Not applicable; all services were unavailable.			
	b. O Achieved safety goal; and/or demonstrating			
	c. O Beginning to demonstrate protective actions			
	d. O Not demonstrating protective actions per pla	ın	2	
			TOTAL SCORE	

SCORED RISK LEVEL: Assign the family's risk level based on the following chart:

OVERRIDE SECTION:

Neglect Score	Scored Risk Level	
O 0-2	0	Low
O 3-5	0	Moderate
O 6+	0	High

Policy Override to High: Mark <u>yes</u> if any condition is applicable <u>during the current review/implementation period</u> ; override to high. O Yes O No 1. Non-accidental injury to a child under age of 3 years. O Yes O No 2. Severe non-accidental injury by a parent. O Yes O No 3. Parent caused death of a child due to abuse or neglect.
Discretionary Override: If applicable, mark yes; increase or decrease scored risk by one level. O Yes O No 4. If <u>yes</u> , list override reason:
Team Leader approval of discretionary override: Date://

FINAL RISK LEVEL
O Low
O Moderate
O High